#### FALCON OIL & GAS LTD.

# FORM 51-102F1 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

The following management's discussion and analysis (the "MD&A") was prepared as at May 27, 2010 and is management's assessment of Falcon Oil & Gas Ltd's ("Falcon") financial and operating results and provides a summary of the financial information of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The information provided herein in respect of Falcon includes information in respect of its wholly-owned subsidiaries Mako Energy Corporation ("Mako"), a Delaware company, Falcon Oil & Gas USA, Inc. ("Falcon USA"), a Colorado company, TXM Oil and Gas Exploration Kft., a Hungarian limited liability company doing business as TXM Energy, LLC ("TXM"), TXM Marketing Trading & Service, LLC ("TXM Marketing"), a Hungarian limited liability company, FOG-TXM Kft., a Hungarian limited liability company, JVX Energy S.R.L. ("JVX"), a Romanian limited liability company, and Falcon Oil & Gas Australia Limited ("Falcon Australia") (collectively, the "Company").

Additional information related to the Company, including the Company's Annual Information Form ("AIF") for the year ended December 31, 2009 dated April 29, 2010, can be found on the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval ("SEDAR") at www.sedar.com and Falcon's website at www.falconoilandgas.com.

## **Forward-looking Statements**

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to: the focus of capital expenditures; the sale, farming in, farming out or development of certain exploration properties using third party resources; the impact of changes in petroleum and natural gas prices on cash flow; drilling plans; processing capacity; operating and other costs; the existence, operation and strategy of the commodity price risk management program; the approximate and maximum amount of forward sales; the Company's acquisition strategy, the criteria to be considered in connection therewith and the benefits to be derived therefrom; the Company's goal to sustain or grow production and reserves through prudent management and acquisitions; the emergence of accretive growth opportunities; the Company's ability to benefit from the combination of growth opportunities and the ability to grow through the capital markets; development costs and the source of funding thereof; the quantity of petroleum and natural gas resources or reserves; treatment under governmental regulatory regimes and tax laws; liquidity and financial capital; the impact of potential acquisitions and the timing for achieving such impact; expectations regarding the ability to raise capital and continually add to reserves through acquisition and development; the performance characteristics of the Company's petroleum and natural gas properties; and realization of the anticipated benefits of acquisitions and dispositions.

Some of the risks and other factors, which could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: general economic conditions in Canada, the United States of America (the "United States"), the Republic of Hungary ("Hungary"), the Commonwealth of Australia ("Australia"), and globally; supply and demand for petroleum and natural gas; industry conditions, including fluctuations in the price of petroleum and natural gas; governmental regulation of the petroleum and natural gas industry, including income tax, environmental and regulatory

matters; fluctuation in foreign exchange or interest rates; risks and liabilities inherent in petroleum and natural gas operations, including exploration, development, exploitation, marketing and transportation risks; geological, technical, drilling and processing problems; unanticipated operating events which can reduce production or cause production to be shut-in or delayed; the ability of our industry partners to pay their proportionate share of joint interest billings; failure to obtain industry partner and other third party consents and approvals, when required; stock market volatility and market valuations; competition for, among other things, capital, acquisition of reserves, processing and transportation capacity, undeveloped land and skilled personnel; the need to obtain required approvals from regulatory authorities; and the other factors considered under "Risk Factors" in the AIF.

In addition, other factors not currently viewed as material could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements.

#### **Dollar Amounts**

All dollar amounts below are in United States dollars, except as otherwise indicated. The financial information provided herein has been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

#### OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS AND OVERALL PERFORMANCE

#### **About Falcon**

The Company is an international energy company engaged in the business of acquiring, exploring and developing petroleum and natural gas properties, with offices in Vancouver, British Columbia, Denver, Colorado, Budapest, Hungary and Sydney, Australia. The Company's registered office is located at 810-675 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6B 1N2 and the Company's head office is located at 1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1400, Denver, Colorado, U.S.A. 80202.

The Company's primary focus is the acquisition, exploration and development of conventional and unconventional petroleum and natural gas projects in Central Europe, specifically Hungary, and in Australia with the Beetaloo Basin project.

## Hungary

The Company holds a long-term Mining Plot (the "**Production License**") granted by the Hungarian Mining Authority. The lands within the Production License were formerly part of the Company's two petroleum and natural gas exploration licenses – the Tisza License and the Makó License (collectively, the "**Exploration Licenses**"). The Production License, covering approximately 245,700 acres, gives the Company the exclusive right to explore for and appraise petroleum and natural gas on properties located in south central Hungary near the town of Szolnok. The Production License further gives the Company the exclusive right to commercially develop petroleum and natural gas within the area covered by that license.

The Exploration Licenses expired on December 31, 2009, and are not eligible for extension. However, under Hungarian laws applicable to oil and gas exploration licenses, the licensee has the first priority in obtaining a mining plot covering all or part of the area, but is not guaranteed that it will receive a mining plot. The process requires the filing of a "Closing Report" within six months from the expiration of the license, and the filing of an application for the mining plot within the second six-month period. The Company intends to file a Closing Report within the required period.

In October 2009, the Hungarian Mining Authority granted the Company's application to expand the depths under the Production License. When originally issued in May 2007, the upper depth of the Production License was defined as 9,186 feet (2,800 meters) from the surface, and extended to the basement of the Basin Centered Gas Accumulation. As a result of additional technical analysis, including extensive review of 3D seismic and the data obtained from the wells previously drilled within the Production License, the amended Production License now incorporates depths beginning at 7,546 feet (2,300 meters) throughout the entire Production License. This revision makes the Production License depth consistent with other mining plots in the immediate area.

On April 10, 2008, Falcon and TXM entered into the Production and Development Agreement (the "PDA"), as amended, with ExxonMobil Corporation affiliate Esso Exploration International Limited ("ExxonMobil") under which Falcon and ExxonMobil became joint owners in a specified portion (the "Contract Area") of the Production License. Pursuant to a pre-existing agreement between ExxonMobil and MOL Hungarian Oil and Gas Plc. ("MOL") and ExxonMobil's rights under the PDA, ExxonMobil sold one-half of its interest in the Contract Area to MOL, effective April 10, 2008. ExxonMobil, MOL and TXM entered into a joint operating agreement (the "JOA"), dated April 10, 2008, which governed all operations of the Contract Area not expressly addressed in the PDA. ExxonMobil was designated as the operator of the Contract Area under the JOA.

The Contract Area consisted of approximately 184,300 acres, or 75% of the Company's 246,000-acre Production License. The Contract Area was owned jointly, with the Company owning a 33% undivided working interest and ExxonMobil and MOL each owning a 33.5% undivided working interest. However, the Company's Hungarian subsidiary, TXM, remained as the registered owner of the Production License under the records of the Hungarian Mining Authority.

The PDA provided for ExxonMobil and MOL to pay an initial consideration of \$25 million to the Company and to spend an aggregate of \$50 million to conduct an initial work program to test one or more of the Company's existing well bores or drill one or more new wells for such tests (the "**Initial Work Program**").

On October 30, 2009, Production Ventures East Hungary Kft., an affiliate of ExxonMobil ("**Production Ventures**"), completed certain operations on the Földeák-1 well, at which time the well was temporarily suspended. The conclusion of these operations was also the completion of the Initial Work Program, and the expenditure of Production Ventures' and MOL's \$50 million financial obligation under the PDA. Production Ventures and MOL had 120 days from completion of the Initial Work Program to evaluate the results and, on February 19, 2010, provided notice that they would not proceed to the next phase of the PDA, the Appraisal Work Program, and would exit the PDA.

In accordance with the PDA, ExxonMobil's and MOL's respective participating interests in the Contract Area, the Földeák-1 well, and all other interests automatically reverted to TXM, and TXM became operator of the entire Production License.

Operational Highlights for 2010

On February 19, 2010, ExxonMobil and MOL provided notice that they would not proceed to the next phase of the PDA, the Appraisal Work Program, and would exit the PDA.

In accordance with the PDA, ExxonMobil's and MOL's respective participating interests in the Contract Area, the Földeák-1 well, and all other interests automatically reverted to TXM, and TXM became operator of the entire Production License.

## Future Operations

Future operations in the Makó Trough are subject to further technical evaluation by the Company. Operations within the Production License may also subject to ongoing efforts to enter into joint venture arrangements to evaluate the Algyo, Szolnok, Endrod and Basal Conglomerate formations.

## Beetaloo Basin, Northern Territory, Australia

On September 30, 2008, Falcon and Falcon Australia consummated the acquisition of an undivided 50% working interest in an aggregate 7,000,000 acres in four exploration permits (the "**Permits**") in the Beetaloo Basin, Australia (the "**Beetaloo Basin Project**") pursuant to the terms of a Purchase and Sale Agreement, as amended on October 31, 2008, (the "**Beetaloo PSA**") with PetroHunter Energy Corporation, PetroHunter Operating Company and Sweetpea Petroleum Pty Ltd. ("**Sweetpea**") (collectively, "**PetroHunter**"), each of which is a non-arm's length party for the purposes of the TSX Venture Exchange (the "**TSXV**").

On June 11, 2009, pursuant to a second Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Second PSA") with PetroHunter, the Company completed the acquisition of an additional undivided 25% working interest in the Beetaloo Basin Project. Under the terms of the Second PSA, the principal consideration being paid by the Company for this transaction was the exchange of a \$5,000,000 note receivable from PetroHunter. In addition, the Company agreed to pay certain vendors who had provided goods or services for the Beetaloo Basin Project, prior to the Company acquiring its 50% interest in September 2008, in exchange for inventory and operator bonds of approximately the same value, and has relinquished its right to the unexpended testing and completion funds of the Buckskin Mesa Project (as defined below). Upon closing, the Company became operator of the Beetaloo Basin Project, and PetroHunter and the Company entered into an escrow agreement governing the release of all remaining common shares of Falcon ("Common Shares") previously issued to PetroHunter.

On December 7, 2009, Falcon and Falcon Australia entered into a Binding Heads of Agreement (the "Agreement") with PetroHunter and Sweetpea wherein Falcon Australia will issue to Sweetpea common shares of Falcon Australia in consideration for the transfer of Sweetpea's undivided 25% working interest in the Permits. The Company will enter into a Master Services Agreement (the "MSA") related to the operations of the Permits. Under the terms of the Agreement, Falcon has been issued 150 million shares of Falcon Australia for conversion of a portion (\$30,000,000) of Falcon Australia's debt payable to Falcon, which approximates Falcon's initial acquisition cost previously paid to Sweetpea for the 75% working interest in the Permits held by Falcon Australia as of the date of the Agreement, and Sweetpea has been issued 50 million shares of Falcon Australia for its remaining 25% working interest in the Permits. On April 23, 2010, Falcon Australia received notice (the "Notice") from the Department of Resources, Northern Territory Government, that the registration of the transfer of the remaining 25% interest in the Permits was completed, satisfying all conditions precedent to closing. Pursuant to the Notice, Falcon Australia now owns 100% of the Permits.

The Permits are subject to a combined governmental royalty of between 10-12% and an overriding royalty to two arm's length third parties in an amount not to exceed 12.1%.

## Operational Highlights for 2010

In May 2010, the Company commenced initial road and construction work for the 2010 work program, with the drilling and completion phase of the program anticipated to commence in July/August 2010, depending on weather conditions and availability of service providers and equipment.

# Future Operations

Under a work program approved by the Northern Territory of Australia Government, Department of Resources, on March 31, 2010, the Company is obligated to complete minimum work requirements by expending \$6,400,000, \$3,900,000 and \$5,000,000 during the years ending December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively, in order to continue to hold the underlying Permits in the Beetaloo Basin Project.

#### Canada

Falcon owns non-operating working interests in four producing natural gas wells in Alberta, Canada which do not comprise a material portion of Falcon's assets (the "**Hackett Interest**"). The Company does not anticipate any further exploration or development of the Hackett Interest.

#### Karoo Basin, South Africa

On October 27, 2009, the Company secured a Technical Cooperation Permit (the "**TCP**") to evaluate the Karoo Basin in central South Africa. The Company has up to one year to conduct a technical appraisal of the area covered by the TCP, which does not include any well or seismic work obligations. At or before the end of the one year period, the Company has the option to apply for an exploration license covering all or a portion of the TCP upon the payment of \$400,000. The TCP covers approximately 7.5 million acres and is located approximately 120 miles northeast of Cape Town, South Africa.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 as Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009

This review of the results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. The following is a summary of those results:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			Change			
		2010		2009		\$	%
Petroleum revenue	\$	12,000	\$	11,000	\$	1,000	9.1%
Direct costs							
Production costs		4,000		1,000		(3,000)	(300.0)%
Depreciation, depletion and accretion		108,000		92,000		(16,000)	(17.4)%
		112,000		93,000		(19,000)	(20.4)%
Costs and expenses		_					
Accounting		161,000		103,000		(58,000)	(56.3)%
Depreciation and amortization		119,000		108,000		(11,000)	(10.2)%
Consulting		308,000		473,000		165,000	34.9%
Director fees		63,000		66,000		3,000	4.5%
Investor relations		109,000		164,000		55,000	33.5%
Legal costs		233,000		362,000		129,000	35.6%
Office and administrative		573,000		636,000		63,000	9.9%
Payroll and related costs		854,000		735,000		(119,000)	(16.2)%
Stock-based compensation		1,381,000		1,392,000		11,000	0.8%
Travel and promotion		495,000		328,000		(167,000)	(50.9)%
_	4	4,296,000		4,367,000		71,000	1.6%
Other income (expense)			<u>,                                      </u>				
Interest expense		(664,000)		-		(664,000)	
Interest income		17,000		152,000		(135,000)	(88.8)%
Gain (loss) on foreign exchange		73,000		496,000		(423,000)	(85.3)%
Other income (expense)		43,000		13,000		30,000	230.8%
· • · · ·		(531,000)		661,000	(	(1,192,000)	(180.3)%
Net loss and comprehensive loss	\$ (	4,927,000)	\$ (	3,788,000)	\$ (	(1,139,000)	(30.1)%

#### Petroleum Revenue

All of the revenue from petroleum and natural gas sales is derived from the sale of natural gas from the Hackett Interests. The Company has not yet realized revenue from its planned operations, and has incurred significant expenditures in connection with its exploration for petroleum and natural gas.

#### Costs and expenses

General and administrative costs, exclusive of the impact from depreciation and amortization and stock based compensation, decreased \$71,000 to \$2,796,000 in 2010 from \$2,867,000 in 2009. The significant components of changes in general and administrative expenses in 2010, as compared to 2009, were as follows:

- Accounting the increase was attributable to accelerating the timing of the 2009 year-end audit and additional costs associated with accounting for the Beetaloo Basin Project.
- Consulting the decrease was attributable to certain one-time costs incurred prior to a unit offering during 2009, one-time costs incurred for resource valuations of the Beetaloo Basin Project during 2009, and other cost containments measures.
- Investor relations the decrease was attributable to cost containment measures.
- Legal costs the decrease was attributable to cost containment measures, primarily in Hungary.
- Office and administrative the decrease was attributable to certain one-time costs incurred in Hungary during 2009.
- Payroll and related costs the increase was attributable to the retention of additional personnel to work on the Beetaloo Basin Project, and the employment of an individual who previously rendered services to the Company as a consultant.
- Travel and promotion the increase for 2010 was attributable to the Company's financing activities in Australia and joint venture partner endeavours.

## Other income (expense)

- Interest expense the increase was attributable to the Company's financing activities resulting in the issuance of convertible debentures in June 2009, and reflects primarily the accretion of the equity component of the convertible debentures.
- Interest income the decrease was attributable to a reduction in the cash available for investment and the interest rate earned on the investments.
- Gain (loss) on foreign exchange during 2010 and 2009, the gain on foreign exchange was primarily due to the payment of obligations for operating activities in Hungary during a period when the value of the Hungarian forint was declining relative to the US dollar.

The value of the US dollar strengthened relative to the Canadian dollar throughout the first quarter of 2009, but weakened for the remainder of 2009 and throughout the first quarter of 2010, with intermittent periods of strengthening.

The value of the US dollar strengthened relative to the Hungarian forint throughout the first quarter of 2009, but weakened for the majority of the remainder of 2009. Since December 2009 and throughout the first quarter of 2010, the US dollar has strengthened.

Substantially all of the Company's financings have been in Canadian dollars; the composition of its cash balances was 9% in US dollars, 83% in Canadian dollars, 5% in Hungarian forints, nil in Euros and 3% in Australian dollars at March 31, 2010; a significant portion of the Company's operations are in Hungarian forints and Australian dollars. The increase in the Canadian dollar cash balance relative to the total cash balance resulted from the proceeds from the convertible debenture and share capital offering in June 2009, and the reduction of the US dollar cash balance as monies were used to fund the drilling of the Shenandoah-1 well.

# SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following is a summary of the eight most recently completed quarters:

As of:	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010
Total assets	\$294,000,253	\$292,435,351	\$242,999,051	\$240,155,815
Petroleum and natural gas properties	245,704,299	250,348,187	207,889,291	208,888,941
Working capital	33,156,259	27,514,066	18,175,441	13,713,446
Total shareholders' equity	282,903,353	280,973,242	230,178,690	226,632,859
For the three months ended:	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2010
Revenue	9,157	7,184	41,113	12,188
Net income (loss)	(3,693,105)	(4,769,636)	(51,677,321)	(4,926,845)
Net income (loss) per share-basic and diluted	(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.086)	(0.008)
As of:	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
As of: Total assets	June 30, 2008 \$297,373,735	September 30, 2008 \$306,870,814	December 31, 2008 \$304,472,067	March 31, 2009 \$298,830,658
		•	ŕ	,
Total assets	\$297,373,735	\$306,870,814	\$304,472,067	\$298,830,658
Total assets  Petroleum and natural gas properties	\$297,373,735 211,927,213	\$306,870,814 240,329,003	\$304,472,067 237,020,325	\$298,830,658 237,757,364
Total assets  Petroleum and natural gas properties  Working capital	\$297,373,735 211,927,213 68,343,352	\$306,870,814 240,329,003 55,312,512	\$304,472,067 237,020,325 32,073,983	\$298,830,658 237,757,364 29,051,915
Total assets  Petroleum and natural gas properties  Working capital  Total shareholders' equity	\$297,373,735 211,927,213 68,343,352 281,874,148	\$306,870,814 240,329,003 55,312,512 297,268,936	\$304,472,067 237,020,325 32,073,983 281,190,721	\$298,830,658 237,757,364 29,051,915 278,793,568
Total assets  Petroleum and natural gas properties  Working capital  Total shareholders' equity  For the three months ended:	\$297,373,735 211,927,213 68,343,352 281,874,148 June 30, 2008	\$306,870,814 240,329,003 55,312,512 297,268,936 September 30, 2008	\$304,472,067 237,020,325 32,073,983 281,190,721 December 31, 2008	\$298,830,658 237,757,364 29,051,915 278,793,568 March 31, 2009

The Company is a development stage company; it has limited revenue which is not material. As well, the Company's net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share relate to the Company's operations during a

particular period, and are not seasonal in nature. Generally, the Company's total assets, petroleum and natural gas properties, working capital and total shareholders' equity fluctuate in proportion to one another until such time as the Company completes additional financing.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Falcon Australia Private Placement

In January 2010, Falcon Australia commenced the marketing, by way of a private placement, of the sale of up 50 million shares of its common stock ("FA Share") to sophisticated or professional investors within the meaning of sections 708(8) and 708(11) of the Corporations Act 2001(Australia) pursuant to an Offer Memorandum (the "Offer"), at a price of \$1.00 per FA share, with an attached option. Each option entitles the holder to acquire one additional FA Share in respect of each FA Share sold, exercisable at \$1.25 for a period of three years from date of issue. Closing is expected to occur on or about July 31, 2010, and as of April 23, 2010 all conditions precedent, including consent from the Northern Territory government for the transfer to Falcon Australia of the remaining undivided 25% working interest from Sweetpea to Falcon Australia, have been satisfied. The acting broker to the private placement will receive, as a brokerage fee, 6.50% of the funds raised, together with options (on the same terms as issued to investors), calculated at 6.50% of the number of shares issued in the private placement. As of May 24, 2010, \$4,896,000, a portion of the proceeds from the Offer, has been received. Closing of this portion of the Offer and release of funds are pending, subject to final approval by the TSXV. The funds are to be utilized exclusively by Falcon Australia.

#### Going Concern

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company incurred a net loss of \$4,927,000 and, as at March 31, 2010, had a deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$142,849,000 and working capital of \$13,715,000. The Company has been focused on securing equity financing and a joint venture partner for its operations in the Beetaloo Basin Project and a joint venture partner for its operations in the Makó Trough. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to raise additional capital to fund its operations, and ultimately to achieve profitable operations through the discovery of economically recoverable reserves.

#### Working Capital

Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2010 were \$9,192,000, a decrease of \$2,612,000 from \$11,804,000 at December 31, 2009. Working capital at March 31, 2010 decreased to \$13,715,000 from \$18,176,000 at December 31, 2009.

The decrease to cash and cash equivalents of \$2,612,000 was attributable to cash used in operating activities and investing activities (primarily for capital expenditures, including payment of prior year's accounts payable incurred for petroleum and natural gas properties) of \$1,606,000 and \$1,695,000, respectively, offset by cash provided by financing activities and the effect of exchange rates on cash of \$454,000 and \$235,000, respectively. Of the restricted cash of \$631,000 at March 31, 2010, \$580,000 is for the second six months of escrowed debenture interest as required under the Offering.

#### Amounts Receivable

Amounts receivable at March 31, 2010 were \$2,008,000, which includes \$273,000 receivable from joint interest owners (\$246,000 for amounts recoverable from a joint interest owner for Australian GST and other of \$27,000), \$1,007,000, \$144,000 and \$46,000 receivable from the Hungarian, Australian and

Canadian governments as refunds of VAT, GST and GST, respectively, and other of \$538,000 (of which \$469,000 is for operator bonds due from the Australian government).

## Accounts Payables and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses at March 31, 2010 were \$2,671,000, which includes \$422,000 for capital expenditures related primarily to the Company's Hungarian and Australian operations, as compared to accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$2,683,000 at December 31, 2009, which includes \$770,000 for capital expenditures related primarily to the Company's Hungarian and Australian operations.

## **Capital Expenditures**

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, capitalized additions to petroleum and natural gas properties were \$1,003,000, of which \$604,000 was in Hungary, \$278,000 was in Australia and \$121,000 was in South Africa.

#### Hungary

As of March 31, 2010, the Company's net cumulative expenditures for the Production License and Exploration Licenses, including the acquisition, seismic testing, drilling of exploratory wells, and initial testing and completion of wells, was approximately \$214,132,000, including an asset retirement obligation of approximately \$4,583,000 for the six wells drilled by the Company and \$394,000 for the well drilled by ExxonMobil.

The significant costs incurred during 2010 in Hungary were for well maintenance for the six existing well bores.

The Company's future capital requirements for Hungary will be dependent upon, among other things, the evaluation of the Hungarian properties. The Company will continue to evaluate the potential for further activity in the Makó Trough in both the Production License and Exploration Licenses. The Company's requirements for additional capital are dependent upon its future operating plans.

#### Australia

During 2010, costs incurred in Australia have been limited to geological and geophysical analysis, engineering and analytical evaluations due to suspension of operations during the rainy season; operations will recommence in the third quarter of 2010.

The Company's future capital requirements for Australia will be dependent upon the evaluation of the results of the 2010 work program on the Shenandoah-1 well and the overall Beetaloo Basin Project.

The availability of debt and equity capital, and the price at which additional capital could be issued, will be dependent upon the success of the Company's exploration activities, and upon the state of the capital markets generally.

## **Legal Matters**

The Company may, from time to time, be involved in various claims, lawsuits, disputes with third parties, actions involving allegations of discrimination, or breach of contract incidental to the operations of its business. Except for the following-described dispute, the Company is not currently involved in any claims, disputes, litigation, or other actions with third parties which it believes could have a materially adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

On November 10, 2009, the Company was served with a Complaint by a former vendor of TXM (the "Vendor"), claiming that the Company owes the Vendor approximately \$3,200,000 plus interest, arising out of a dispute related to TXM's alleged failure to pay for certain oilfield equipment. Falcon and TXM have advised the Vendor, and continue to assert, that the claim is without merit and that they intend to vigorously defend against it as well as make any appropriate counter claims against the Vendor.

## Transactions with Non-Arm's Length Parties and Related Parties

The Company has entered into certain agreements and transactions with PetroHunter, a non-arm's length party for the purposes of the TSXV, whose largest single shareholder is also the President and CEO of the Company. The Company acquired working interests from PetroHunter in the Beetaloo Basin Project.

#### Beetaloo Basin Project

On December 7, 2009, Falcon and Falcon Australia entered into an Agreement with PetroHunter and Sweetpea, wherein Falcon Australia will issue to Sweetpea common shares of Falcon Australia in consideration for the transfer of Sweetpea's undivided 25% working interest in the Permits. The Company will enter into a MSA related to the operations of the Permits. Under the terms of the Agreement, Falcon will be issued 150 million shares of Falcon Australia for conversion of a portion (\$30,000,000) of Falcon Australia's debt payable to Falcon, which approximates Falcon's initial acquisition cost previously paid to Sweetpea for the 75% working interest in the Permits held by Falcon Australia as of the date of the Agreement, and Sweetpea will be issued 50 million shares of Falcon Australia for its remaining 25% working interest in the Permits. On April 23, 2010, Falcon Australia received notice from the Department of Resources, Northern Territory Government, that the registration of the transfer of the remaining 25% interest in the Permits was completed, satisfying all conditions precedent to closing.

#### Services – Directors and Officers

During 2010, the Company incurred \$45,000 (2009 - \$45,000) to a current director of the Company, Dr. György Szabó, for advisory and consulting services rendered to TXM; and nil (2009 - \$36,000) in consulting fees to a current director of the Company, Mr. Daryl Gilbert, for advisory and consulting services rendered to Falcon.

David Brody, the Company's Corporate Secretary, is a partner of Patton Boggs LLP, a US law firm that provides US legal advice to the Company. The Company has not recorded any amounts paid to Patton Boggs LLP as transactions with a related party because Mr. Brody has not received any remuneration from Patton Boggs LLP since his appointment as Corporate Secretary of Falcon.

## DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

The following is a summary of the Company's outstanding share data as at March 31, 2010 and May 27, 2010:

Class Of Securities	March 31, 2010	May 27, 2010
Common Shares	602,216,801	602,216,801
Stock Options <sup>(1)</sup>	41,975,000	26,475,000
June Agents' Warrants <sup>(2)</sup>	1,250,550	1,250,550

#### **Notes:**

- (1) On April 2, 2010, options to acquire 15,500,000 Common Shares at \$0.25 per Common Share expired.
- (2) Warrants to purchase 1,250,550 Common Shares at a price of \$0.52 (CDN\$0.60) per Common Share were issued to the agents in June 2009 in connection with the Offering, and expire on June 30, 2011.

### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements or proposed transactions, other than operating leases.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The critical accounting policies adopted by the Company have not changed from those described in the Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## NEW CANADIAN ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

The Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB") of the CICA has issued new accounting standards that the Company is required to consider for adoption, as follows:

Business Combinations, Consolidated Financial Statements and Non-Controlling Interests

The CICA issued three new accounting standards in January 2009: Section 1582, Business Combinations ("Section 1582"), Section 1601, Consolidated Financial Statements ("Section 1601"), and Section 1602, Non-controlling Interests ("Section 1602"). These new standards will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The Company is in the process of evaluating the requirements of the new standards.

Section 1582 replaces Section 1581, Business Combinations, and establishes standards for the accounting for a business combination. It provides the Canadian equivalent to International Financial Reporting Standard IFRS 3 – Business Combinations. The section applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

Sections 1601 and 1602 together replace Section 1600, Consolidated Financial Statements. Section 1601 establishes standards for the preparation of consolidated financial statements. Section 1601 applies to interim and annual consolidated financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. Section 1602 establishes standards for accounting for a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary in consolidated financial statements subsequent to a business combination. It is equivalent to the corresponding provisions of International Financial Reporting Standard IAS 27 — Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements and applies to interim and annual consolidated financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

## International Financial Reporting Standards

The AcSB has determined that Canadian accounting standards for publicly-listed companies will converge with International Financial Reporting Standards ("**IFRS**") effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The adoption of IFRS in 2011 will require restatement for comparative purposes of figures presented for the 2010 fiscal year. The Company understands there may be material differences between Canadian GAAP and IFRS, and is therefore monitoring this project with a view to understanding the possible future effects of the transition to IFRS.

## **International Financial Reporting Standards**

The Company will be required to adopt IFRS for its interim and annual consolidated financial statements effective January 1, 2011. The transition date of January 1, 2011 will require the restatement for comparative purposes of all quarterly results reported by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010, as well as an opening IFRS consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2010.

During 2010, the Company commenced an initial diagnostic review of the significant differences between IFRS and Canadian GAAP, in order to identify areas that could significantly impact the Company's financial reporting. In many areas, the Company's policies and transition elections may impact the effect that the conversion to IFRS will have on the Company's financial reporting. As such, during 2010 the Company began the process of evaluating and selecting appropriate accounting policies and determining the transition elections it plans to use. As a result of the above process, the Company expects the following areas could be significantly impacted by the Company's transition to IFRS:

#### Petroleum and natural gas properties

Adoption of IFRS may significantly impact the Company's accounting policies for petroleum and natural gas properties. For Canadian GAAP purposes, the Company follows the full cost method of accounting as prescribed by Accounting Guideline 16. Application of the full cost method of accounting is discussed in the "Critical Accounting Estimates" section of this MD&A. Significant differences in accounting for petroleum and natural gas properties between IFRS and Canadian GAAP include the following:

- Pre-exploration costs must be expensed. Under the full cost method of accounting, these costs are currently included in the country cost centre.
- Exploration and evaluation costs will be initially capitalized as exploration and evaluation assets. Once technical feasibility and commercial viability of reserves is established for an area, the costs will be transferred to petroleum and natural gas properties. If technically feasible and commercially viable reserves are not established for a new area, the costs must be expensed. Under the full cost method of accounting, exploration and evaluation costs are currently disclosed as petroleum and natural gas properties, but withheld from costs subject to DD&A. Costs are transferred to costs subject to DD&A when proved reserves are assigned or when it is determined that the costs are impaired.
- DD&A of producing properties will be at an asset level. Under full cost method of accounting, DD&A of petroleum and natural gas properties is on a country cost centre basis.
- Interest directly attributable to the acquisition or construction of a qualifying asset must be capitalized to the cost of the asset. Under Canadian GAAP, capitalization of interest is discretionary.

• Impairment of petroleum and natural gas properties will be tested at a cash generating unit level (the lowest level at which cash inflows can be identified). Under the full cost method of accounting, impairment is tested at the country cost centre level.

## Impairment of long-lived assets other than petroleum and natural gas properties

Under Canadian GAAP, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that a long-lived asset may be impaired, the carrying value is compared to both the net recoverable amount (being net cash flows calculated on an undiscounted basis) and fair value. Where the carrying amount is greater than either of these amounts, an impairment equal to the difference between the carrying amount and fair value is recognized in earnings.

Under IFRS, impairment is assessed using a one-step process which compares the carrying amount to the recoverable amount, calculated as the greater of the value in use, being the estimated discounted future expected pre-tax cash flows, and fair value less costs to sell, of the asset being tested. Impairment may result from the use of the one-step process under IFRS where no impairment exists under the two-step process required by Canadian GAAP.

Under IFRS, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount and the greater of value in use and fair value less costs to sell of a long-lived asset. Reversals of impairment losses are recognized in the periods the reversals occur. When an impairment loss reverses in a subsequent period, the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset is increased to the revised estimate of recoverable amount to the extent that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss be recognized for the asset previously. Reversal of impairment losses is not permitted under Canadian GAAP.

## Measurement of reclamation and closure cost obligations

Under IFRS, the Company's obligation for reclamation and closure costs is measured based on management's best estimate of future expenditures required to settle the obligation at the balance sheet date, discounted using the applicable country-specific risk free rates. Under Canadian GAAP, this obligation is measured based on the fair value of future estimated expenditures using quoted market prices where applicable, discounted using the Company's credit-adjusted risk free rate.

# Property and equipment

Under IFRS, the Company will be required to apply componentization concepts to its property and equipment, and will be required to perform an annual review of the estimates of useful lives, residual values and depreciation methods, in addition to the annual review for impairment. The Company expects to use only the historical cost accounting method to value its assets under IFRS.

## Stock based compensation

Under Canadian GAAP, stock based compensation is measured using the fair market value method under which the cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the underlying security. The Company has not estimated a forfeiture rate for stock options that may not vest; rather the Company accounts for actual forfeitures as they occur.

Under IFRS, stock based compensation will be measured using the fair market value method under which the cost will be recognized on a graded vesting basis over the vesting period of the underlying security. In addition, the Company must estimate a forfeiture rate for stock options that may not vest.

## Provisions and contingencies

Under IFRS, contingent assets and liabilities must be assessed in legal and constructive terms and are required to be recognized if they are probable (defined as 'more likely than not' or greater than 50%). The Company continues to assess its provisions and contingencies under the terms of this standard.

#### Presentation and disclosure

The presentation, including disclosures, of the Company's consolidated financial statements will change as a result of implementing the IFRS presentation and disclosure requirements. These changes could result in significant differences in the presentation of the Company's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows. In addition, it is expected that the Company will disclose additional information in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in order to comply with the requirements of IFRS.

#### Income taxes

The Company expects differences in the accounting for income taxes and continues to assess the potential impact under IFRS.

## Accounting processes, internal controls procedures, and information technology systems

During 2010, the Company also performed a high level analysis of the impact of IFRS on the Company's accounting processes, internal controls procedures, and information technology systems. Based on this review, management has identified certain matters that will require prospective attention.

During 2010, the Company plans to complete some of the key activities related to the conversion, including the following:

- Prepare the opening consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2010;
- Draft the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto;
- Determine the accounting policies and transition elections;
- Consult with the Company's subsidiaries regarding the transition; and
- Obtain appropriate training for the Company's staff.

The Company has informed the audit committee of management's plans and decisions to date, and the Company plans to continue to provide the audit committee with updates through 2010 as the conversion project progresses.

#### **Business Risks and Uncertainties**

As stated above and as discussed in the Company's continuous disclosure documents, certain risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual results to materially differ from our current expectations include, but are not limited to:

• The Company's business is at a similar stage to that of a recently formed company with no operating history, which makes it difficult to evaluate its business prospects;

- The Company cannot be certain that it will continuously meet all requirements to maintain the Production License:
- The Company cannot be certain that current expected expenditures and completion/testing programs will be realized;
- The Company will have substantial capital requirements that, if not met, may hinder its growth and operations;
- The Company might not be able to determine reserve potential, identify liabilities associated with the properties or obtain protection from sellers against them, which could cause the Company to incur losses;
- The Company might incur debt in order to fund its exploration and development activities, which would continue to reduce its financial flexibility and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operation;
- Shortages of rigs, equipment, supplies and personnel could delay or otherwise adversely affect the Company's cost of operations or its ability to operate according to its business plans;
- Resource estimates depend on many assumptions that may turn out to be inconclusive, subject to varying interpretations, or inaccurate;
- The value of the Common Shares might be affected by matters not related to the Company's own operating performance for reasons that include the following:
  - general economic conditions in Australia, Canada, Hungary, the United States and globally;
  - industry conditions, including fluctuations in the price of petroleum and natural gas;
  - governmental regulation of the petroleum and natural gas industry, including environmental regulation;
  - fluctuation in foreign exchange or interest rates;
  - liabilities inherent in petroleum and natural gas operations;
  - geological, technical, drilling and processing problems;
  - unanticipated operating events which can reduce production or cause production to be shut-in or delayed;
  - failure to obtain third party consents and approvals, when required;
  - stock market volatility and market valuations;
  - competition for, among other things, capital, acquisition of reserves, undeveloped land and skilled personnel;
  - the need to obtain required approvals from regulatory authorities;
  - Hungarian and worldwide supplies and prices of and demand for petroleum and natural gas;
  - political conditions and developments in Hungary and Australia;
  - political conditions in petroleum and natural gas producing regions;
  - revenue and operating results failing to meet expectations in any particular period;

- investor perception of the petroleum and natural gas industry;
- limited trading volume of Common Shares;
- change in environmental and other governmental regulations;
- announcements relating to the Company's business or the business of its competitors;
- the Company's liquidity; and
- the Company's ability to raise additional funds.
- The Company might not be able to obtain necessary approvals from one or more Hungarian and/or Australian government agencies, surface owners, or other third parties;
- Drilling for and producing petroleum and natural gas are high-risk activities with many uncertainties that could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations;
- Competition in the petroleum and natural gas industry is intense, and many of the Company's competitors have greater financial, technological and other resources than the Company does, which may adversely affect its ability to compete;
- Political instability or fundamental changes in the leadership or in the structure of the governments in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates could have a material negative impact on the Company;
- Market conditions or operation impediments may hinder the Company's access to petroleum and natural gas markets or delay its production;
- A substantial or extended decline in petroleum and natural gas prices may adversely affect the Company's ability to meet its capital expenditure obligations and financial commitments;
- The Company may enter into currency hedging agreements but may not be able to hedge against all such risks;
- The Company is subject to complex laws and regulations, including environmental regulations, which can have a material adverse effect on the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business;
- The loss of the Company's chief executive officer or other of the Company's key management and technical personnel or its inability to attract and retain experienced technical personnel could adversely affect the Company's ability to operate;
- The Company does not insure against all potential operating risks. It might incur substantial losses and be subject to substantial liability claims of its petroleum and natural gas operations; and
- To the extent that the Company establishes petroleum and natural gas reserves, it will be
  required to replace, maintain or expand its petroleum and natural gas reserves in order to
  prevent its reserves and production from declining, which would adversely affect cash flows
  and income.

Should one or more of these risks materialize, or should the Company's underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Company's actual results may materially differ from the Company's current expectations. Therefore, in evaluating forward-looking statements, readers should specifically consider the various

factors that could cause the Company's actual results to materially differ from such forward-looking statements.

# Management's Responsibility for MD&A

The information provided in this MD&A, is the responsibility of management. In the preparation of this MD&A, estimates are sometimes necessary to make a determination of future values for certain assets or liabilities. Management believes such estimates have been based on careful judgments and have been properly reflected in this MD&A.

The audit committee has reviewed the MD&A with management, and has approved the MD&A as presented.